

Disclaimer: This document was created as a collaborative effort between friends of Peaches, who feel that she has been given unfair treatment in this situation - this is NOT being said out of blind loyalty, but because we have looked at the information presented by all sides and see a solid case that her character and actions have been misrepresented, which this document aims to outline. We know people will claim our bias is blinding us, and it would be disingenuous to say we have zero bias, but we hope that people will take a look at everything we have laid out and explained here and give it a fair chance. This document aims to give a breakdown of the situation, uses evidence publicly given/stated by the parties involved, and gives analysis on them to show that this situation is far more nuanced than it is currently being portrayed and perceived, with her accusers not providing substantial evidence to their claims or making leaps in logic. This document will mainly be addressing PrisonMateLuke, CreepShow Art/Shannon, and Camila Cuevas, as those are the three main parties involved. We know other videos and claims have been made that may at some point be addressed; this document will be updated with new information, but those three will be the focus for now. Peaches did not ask for this document to be written nor did she help write this document in any way shape or form besides screenshots that have come from her.

Some dates may be shifted by a day or so depending on who the screenshots come from, given a range of time zones those involved in the situation and those working on the document live in.

Updates:

- **Starting on page 26, screenshots regarding a claim made by Creepshow Art were added, which seem to show that Creepshow was not fully truthful in her video.**

This document will begin the current situation with the uploading of Prison Mate Luke's ["Why is The Tobi Drama Still Going On"](#) video posted on **Nov. 6th**. In the video, Luke provides commentary on several creators involved in the Tobi situation, including Hopeless Peaches.

After discussing and criticizing Peaches' actions and involvement in the Tobi situation, calling her out for inserting herself when she shouldn't have, he makes the claim that is most relevant to the situation at 20:30. "And when you were getting genuine criticism for all of the *garbage you've done, you decided to suicide bait your audience." Showing these tweets that Peaches made on **Oct. 23rd** and the follow-up tweets made by her on **Oct. 24th** and then **Oct. 26th**; major problems exist with Luke's claims and characterization of these tweets.

*garbage here refers to the actions he was criticizing her for before this statement



Luke misused the term “suicide baiting”. Although this was likely an honest mistake as suicide baiting is defined as the encouragement of others to commit suicide, what Luke likely meant with his statement was that she baited her audience with the idea of her committing suicide for sympathy or “sympathy baited”- but the term he does use is “suicide bait”.

Peaches’ tweet on Oct. 23rd was not made with any sort of mention of the drama or the situation that had been going on; the last tweet Peaches had made at all related to the drama was on Oct. 18th, **5 days before the vague tweet that hinted at suicide - and there were several other tweets made between these two.**



Peaches has mentioned several times (for years before the drama) that she has mental health problems, including long term clinical depression. Luke has **zero evidence** to back up the claim that the tweet was made as a response to criticism of her or to suggest that she didn’t actually have a mental health crisis, but only made the tweet to garner sympathy. This is an incredibly problematic claim.

Peaches has since stated that she genuinely was thinking of ending her life that night. After she made the tweet, she went through her crisis but managed to come out of it into a better

headspace with the help of friends, and she decided not to go through with her initial plans. Later, when she had a clearer head, she apologized for the tweet, and a couple of days later, she tried to resume normalcy - completely expected behavior of someone who was thinking of suicide and later changed their mind.

Luke has genuine criticism that Peaches could have/did distress fans by making the tweet and continued to come back to social media after saying she would take a break. In this case, her tweet seemed to be made more as a cry for help, which is not something to shame a suicidal person for. Her not taking a break is a separate issue from these tweets - it's something she can work on, but these tweets are being used to paint a very different picture.

Making this statement about the tweet being a deflection is way out of line - and right before his claim at 20:33, he states he's not claiming she faked having a mental illness; however, **his claim does insinuate that Peaches faked a mental health crisis** and did so in a manipulative manner, trying to hide from people with criticism of her actions. Intentionally or not, Luke did suggest that despite him claiming not to. Him saying he didn't doesn't automatically mean didn't. **Luke has no evidence or place to make this claim and failed to substantiate it besides his own bad-faith interpretation. In fact, the major argument he uses that she faked her crisis is she later returned to Twitter "like nothing happened"**. This has serious implications both for Peaches and mental health as a whole. Luke used no evidence of events leading up to the tweets to substantiate his claim, only what happened after the tweets. How in this scenario would Peaches be expected to respond? He could go back to his argument that she should have taken a genuine break from social media when she said she would - **but whether or not she returned to her Twitter after making those tweets has absolutely nothing to do with the legitimacy of the mental health crisis itself.**

In a best faith interpretation, Luke states that she continued on "like nothing happened" and to him, she needed to make a larger statement to explain her previous tweets to try and make amends with any audience members she may have concerned, and if she had done that Luke would have no problem - but even in this scenario, Luke still has no place or evidence to move forward with his claims that the tweets were made to deflect and not a genuine crisis. Peaches also does not owe the world a look into her private mental health life - she should only share what she is comfortable with. If she didn't want to disclose to the world she was close to hurting herself that night and wanted to move on, it is not the place of Luke or anyone else to demand she does otherwise - and not Luke's place to use these actions against her to paint her in a terrible light. Luke himself doesn't state what he expected Peaches to do in this situation, and he leaves it only as "you came back to twitter and acted like nothing happened". Him using this phrase and to use it as evidence against her could be seen as "you came back to twitter after those tweets and didn't hurt yourself, therefore you are lying" especially in the context of people taking Luke's claims and then repeating them in their own words to Peaches.


Luke's characterization of Peaches and her tweets led to comments from people who had seen Luke's video, calling her a manipulator, liar, other insults, and claims against her character. She also saw a **net*** loss in subscribers of roughly 200, **which Luke would claim in a later video**

was a lie. This will be addressed more when we get to that video, but the timeline matches up with the uploading of his video and Peaches losing subs over the next couple of days.

DATE		SUBSCRIBERS	VIDEO VIEWS	ESTIMATED EARNINGS
2020-11-02	Mon	78.5K	+2,365	\$0.59 - \$9
2020-11-03	Tue	78.5K	+2,441	\$0.61 - \$10
2020-11-05	Thu	78.5K	+3,422	\$0.86 - \$14
2020-11-06	Fri	+100	+900	\$0.23 - \$4
2020-11-07	Sat	-100	+1,718	\$0.43 - \$7
2020-11-08	Sun	-100	+11,555	\$3 - \$46
2020-11-09	Mon	+100	+10,209	\$3 - \$41
2020-11-10	Tue	+300	+14,933	\$4 - \$60
2020-11-11	Wed	+300	+20,859	\$5 - \$83
2020-11-12	Thu	+200	+10,476	\$3 - \$42
2020-11-13	Fri	+300	+11,394	\$3 - \$46
2020-11-14	Sat	+200	+10,915	\$3 - \$44
2020-11-15	Sun	+200	+12,432	\$3 - \$50
2020-11-16	Mon	+700	+44,383	\$11 - \$178
2020-11-17	Tue	+900	+43,770	\$11 - \$175
2020-11-18	Wed	+600	+27,365	\$7 - \$109
2020-11-19	Thu	+1.4K	+50,525	\$13 - \$202
2020-11-20	Fri	+1.5K	+50,356	\$13 - \$201
2020-11-21	Sat	+1.2K	+38,956	\$10 - \$156
2020-11-22	Sun	+800	+17,486	\$6 - \$160

* Social Blade displays the number of subscribers lost and gained in a day as a net sum, so even though the numbers appear to be around 200 lost, the total number lost was likely much higher, depending on how many new people unaware of the Luke video also subscribed to her those days. Based on the trends of the surrounding days, the total subscribers lost in that time period was likely 400+

Peaches, hearing what Luke said about her supposed manipulation and seeing the comments of backlash from others, initially expressed feeling guilty and believing that what Luke and the comments claimed about her doing it for attention were true. This sentiment was expressed in a community post and identical twitter thread (posted on **Nov. 7th**), in which she addressed and apologized about a lot of things related to the drama situation and where she also clarifies about what Luke said and comments received due to his claim.



Hopeless Peaches

4 weeks ago (edited)

I'm sorry for everyone I have hurt.

During the Tobi drama, I was hoping things were going to be properly ironed out, due to my negative experiences with Tobi. I was rooting for Omnia and Kai. However, when things became sour, I got a lot of anxiety. The leaked DMs and the threat present in Omnia's video set off a lot of anxiety with me. I don't want to go too much into it, as Omnia and my private conversations are for our business only, but we didn't end on good terms after me bringing these issues up either. I had already made my comment on Harsh's video which was just more me being upset with myself I didn't see the issues with the leaked DMs earlier due to my biases. In the reply chain however, yeah, I was heated. I apologise for that, [@omnia](#). My intention was to never attack you, or make you feel attacked.

A few things were misunderstood, like, me saying "I spoke to them personally", being misunderstood as me pretending I knew every personal thing about them, when I really meant simply I had spoken 1-on-1, instead of just seeing through videos. I neglected to correct this error, which only lead to more bitterness, which is also my fault. The comments underneath Omnia's calling me racist made me feel attacked, so I just didn't even think of the possibility it was misread.

Not to say I wasn't overly emotional elsewhere. Which, yeah, I was.

After a few days to calm down, I realised how much of, well, a bitch I was. Whether I agreed with their handling or not, I spoke in a manner that made me feel sick to my stomach. I would have apologised sooner, however, I also knew I wasn't in the right mindset, and I wasn't. No excuse, just simply put I was dealing with a groomer through all of this, and traumatic memories due to it, so although a reason for my stupidity, its not an excuse. I should have simply NOT responded to the comments, and not taken it the worst way. Ironically, exactly what I said in my initial Harsh Opinion comment. I see the irony, and ye, I am dumb.

I am still trying to change. I know I should be off the internet, but I guess being fully alone also sucks. A rock and a hard place I guess. Again, I do apologise to Omnia and Kai, and apologise more again for the fact I waited so long to say it. I wanted to become better first, get over what ever this is, but, it is definitely harder than I assumed. So yeah, I shoulda just apologised straight away instead of hiding.

I never intended to make you feel attacked. I know how that feels and it sucks. It really fucking sucks to feel attacked. That was never my intention. I felt attacked too in this situation, so, I guess it was a whole lot of assumptions and, well, yeah, things snowballing into something it never needed to be. I'm just...really sorry. I really, truly am.

To my audience:

After this, yeah, I will be continuing treatment. September onward has been a big wake up call to problems I have left untreated. I wanted to be a better person. Me initially not seeing the error with leaking DMs, went against my morals. And I over-corrected by being overly critical of Omnia and Kai. I went against who I wanna be again with me being petty with Omnia. This, this was shitty. I know. I feel that, until I feel I am more well, I will leave my Twitter dormant and not comment on YouTube either.

This is my responsibility, and I don't want people caught in my mess.

I will be posting content, however, that is simply me giving myself something else to do. Something less destructive, and more productive. Being radio-silent for that month before just drove me crazy.

I want to be better.

I... I never wanted to look like I was suicide-baiting, or lying about my mental health as ____ and comments suggest. That was never what I wanted. Ever. I'm sorry it ever came across that way, that is my fault and I'm sorry.

I instead posted I saw my mental health as an issue, and wished to correct it by getting help, before talking to Omnia. I know I am not very good at holding myself when I spiral like this. This is an issue I need to resolve.

With online and irl stuff, ye, I needed to finally get professional help. I worried talking about things more before then would lead to more emotional outbursts - which was the issue I wanted to fix in the first place.

Ofc, I'm an idiot.

I did look online despite SUPPOSED to be taking a break. Rocky home life and quarantine I guess does that? Looking after posting, I got lots of comments on me being a racist. Long story short, ye, I felt more attacked.

Despite me agreeing publicly I was a little shit for talking as emotionally driven as I did, it hurt, and felt I was being unjustly attacked, by being labelled a racist, or, that it had anything to do with race.

The grooming trauma, online life, isolation, and now medication in my system... the worst did happen. My doctor told me I may experience suicidal tendencies. And I did. To the point I almost took my own life.

Again, to say, this isn't the thoughts of a neurotypical brain. This isn't caused by people. To even think this is the cause of Omnia, Kai - hell, not even my groomer - put me in that state. I never intended to even give that impression, but ye, just to clarify to people reading.

I don't bring that up to get attention - the part of me that does yearn for comfort from that is kinda what I hate the most. I agree with ____ on that one. I more say it to clear up that, although online stress could have maybe spurred that, it was not suicide-baiting. I never intended to ever suicide-bait anyone.

I know it is dumb... but I just genuinely wanted to say goodbye.

Here are the comments within this statement directly relevant

Again, to say, this isn't the thoughts of a neurotypical brain. This isn't caused by people. To even think this is the cause of Omnia, Kai - hell, not even my groomer - put me in that state. I never intended to even give that impression, but ye, just to clarify to people reading.

I don't bring that up to get attention - the part of me that does yearn for comfort from that is kinda what I hate the most. I agree with ____ on that one. I more say it to clear up that, although online stress could have maybe spurred that, it was not suicide-baiting. I never intended to ever suicide-bait anyone.

I know it is dumb... but I just genuinely wanted to say goodbye.

*Luke was @'d in this post, which she later removed, hence the line where his @ was. The @ is still there in the identical twitter post

I want to be better.

I... I never wanted to look like I was suicide-baiting, or lying about my mental health as ____ and comments suggest. That was never what I wanted. Ever. I'm sorry it ever came across that way, that is my fault and I'm sorry.

This community post is where Peaches explains that she was genuinely suicidal, and that her actions were not suicide baiting, and that she never wanted it to seem like that. As previously mentioned, Luke misused the term suicide baiting **and did suggest that Peaches faked a mental health crisis** - so **Peaches did not make an inaccurate claim** in this post regarding Luke. Luke did indeed suggest that Peaches lied about her mental health. Peaches' post further illustrates why Luke's initial comment is harmful. **He has now made someone with suicidal tendencies feel guilty overexpressing them, used them to push a narrative that they were lying and manipulative. He made the worst assumption about a person with mental health problems to push an unsubstantiated story about lying and manipulation.**

The comments spurred by this statement were also very harmful in echoing this sentiment, calling Peaches a liar - which put Peaches in a terrible position. She is being told that she was lying about her mental health crisis, with **zero evidence from Luke**. This does beg a couple of important questions - Why would Luke claim that the tweet was made to hide from criticism, and what would it have taken for him to take her tweets seriously? Luke uses the "evidence" that Peaches returned to Twitter after the tweet... meaning Peaches didn't actually harm herself... meaning that since she didn't harm herself... she was being a liar about having the crisis at all. This implies that the only way for her to not be a liar in this situation is for her to have actually harmed herself. But after she changed her mind, as many contemplating and expressing suicidal tendencies do, her crisis is now being used to paint her as a liar and make it about a drama situation with no proof - **Peaches has been placed in an impossible and harmful lose-lose situation here.**

It is very possible that Luke simply didn't fully think through all the implications that such a statement could have - a sentiment expressed by Peaches on both her Youtube and Twitter (again in identical statements) - **She stated that while she thought his comment was unfair, she did not think he made the comment out of malice.**





And, as shown above, **Luke's claim and those who came to Peaches channel believing them to be true, intentionally or not, do suggest that since she didn't hurt herself, she lied about having a mental health crisis at all.** It is not far-fetched to arrive at this conclusion.

This is a sentiment that should not need further explanation into why it is incredibly harmful and is a damaging stigma to mental health.


Then on **Nov. 9th**, after she continued to receive comments of this nature and had a bit more time to reflect, she reached out to Luke in DMs on Twitter, trying to privately explain that she

had an issue with that particular comment he had made.



The part where Peaches mentioned feeling uncomfortable with him “following her every move”, may have been a bit much, as Luke is allowed to do research for a situation that Peaches was involved in. However, given he watched her social media activity to take a tweet she made about a mental health crisis that had nothing to do with a drama situation and bring it up in a video to claim that it was indeed about the drama and make baseless accusations against her - it's understandable how this could cause discomfort in a person and be seen as an attempt to look for a narrative unjustly. Wording this more specifically would have benefitted Peaches, but she had a right to feel uncomfortable in this situation. Admittedly, while she did lose a noticeable amount of subs, to call it a “mass unsub” is also hyperbolic.

It would be the same day as these DM messages, **Nov. 9th**, that Peaches would post the second identical twitter thread and community post, in the statements she says multiple times that she believes Luke meant no harm by his comments. **In this post, she did not @ Luke in it and never name-dropped him.** She discusses how his statements were harmful and she made the post to show that people who had experienced something similar weren't alone and didn't need to feel shame.



Again, I don't believe these allegations came from a place of malice. That's why I won't name him, and ask nobody to harass him. Instead, I just wanted to talk about this for those who may have been accused before, or seen the video and felt alone. Because, you're not.

The stigma of assuming those with suicidal tendencies are manipulators and baiters for not going through with it, is harmful. The fact the video is being universally praised, and the comments I have been receiving, does sadden me to know this stigma is very much alive. I'm okay. I have a support bubble, and I should be okay. I wanted to talk about this to first, defend myself against these allegations, and second (more important), bring to light this is not the case for people with suicidal tendencies and depression.


To those who want to know more, here is a link on the myths and facts of depression and suicide. This really helped me when I started to hate myself... and even believe these accusations myself.


<https://www.samaritans.org/how-we-can...>

Thank you for reading.

I am still very shaken up, and I don't know how to really hold myself moving forward, but I am talking to people and so I should be okay. Don't worry about that. Don't also harass anybody who said these things. Instead, I just wish for this kind of stigma is removed, and for mental health to be treated with better care. For others moving forward. I don't really care for an apology to me - I don't expect one - but it would be good if at least for those I have seen effected by video to at least right the wrongs of this horrible accusation against sufferers of clinical depression.

The next day on **Nov. 10th**, Luke Responds to Peaches in the DMs.





In the first message, Luke claims that peaches insinuated he was a stalker, but he also claims that he wasn't going to respond and she wanted to "keep the drama going". We will jump ahead a bit to Luke's first Solo video on Peaches Posted on **Dec. 2nd** to give context as to what he means here. In his video ["Stop Lying Peaches"](#) he shows this tweet at 3:46 to back up his claim.



Except, this tweet was a part of the larger twitter thread and community post where she discusses why what Luke said was wrong, says multiple times that she does not believe the

person who said them did so maliciously, and does not @ or name drop him in this community post. It was made after the DMs, but again, Peaches had a right to be upset and make a statement about Luke's claims and the response she got from Luke's audience due to the video - trying to show that they are indeed very wrong claims and statements to make.

In the second part of his first message, he claims that he doesn't like Peaches claiming he lied about her having mental health problems when he didn't - **Except he did. Again, Luke claiming that Peaches faked a Mental Health crisis with no sound evidence is him insinuating that she faked a mental health issue. Luke is being blatantly dishonest in this statement.**

He mentions her claiming she will leave social media and then come back. It is true that Peaches would say she planned on leaving social media and then come back, but this is not proof against her being a liar or manipulative, it only shows that she's changed her mind. It would likely be best for her own sake to take social media breaks, but she's not terrible for not doing so.

But he then doubles that claiming that he "can only come to the conclusion that you baited your suicide" Again, the only evidence he uses to back this claim up is her returning to social media after making the tweet and nothing else - which implies that her not actually going through with those thoughts is what made her a liar about the crisis in the first place.

Luke is incorrect that this is the "only" conclusion he can come to, he easily could have concluded that she had a genuine crisis, but later settled down and returned to normalcy - **once again, something that suicidal people will often do.**


In the second message, we see him try to flip the script, claiming that Peaches' portrayal of him was "shitty" and that she only did it because she didn't want to deal with criticism. Again Luke doesn't substantiate this and seems to be completely missing the point about why what he said is a problem, **thinking that because he said that he does not disbelieve that she has mental health issues, claiming she faked a suicidal crisis with no evidence to push a harmful narrative is somehow not an problematic claim to make.**

Luke again makes a point that Peaches involved herself in the drama in the first place when she shouldn't have, this is fair - but also unrelated to this current situation, as Peaches has already apologized. Luke claims he doesn't think this apology is legitimate, but has no evidence to back the claim up. He then goes on to say that everything Peaches has been saying about him is a lie, when it is in fact, not. **Luke consistently minces genuine criticisms with inaccurate and bad-faith claims, doubling down on his claim that she is only trying to deflect from criticism and lying about him** in the last parts of the messages.

Peaches responded with the following messages.



Peaches did not make an inaccurate claim in either of these messages. The only community post where Luke was @'d was in her first community post made on **Nov. 7th**, where she apologizes and even expresses agreeing with Luke's claims to a degree. The **Nov. 9th** one, where she addresses the claims more directly, explicitly did not mention Luke by name.



Luke's top message here shows a conflation with her **Nov. 7th** apology post and her **Nov. 9th** post addressing the claims made against her. Even though in his own **Dec. 2nd** video, he would use a screenshot of the Nov. 9th post to claim that she was continuing the drama and using his name to defend herself, even though she makes clear she doesn't think he was being malicious and only wanted to address the implications that such a statement he made had. His name was mentioned only in the Nov. 7th post.

He then preemptively claimed that Peaches would try to turn the DMs against, though does not elaborate or provide proof for her behavior in doing this.

Peaches then sends her final message where she says that she apologized for the parts where there was genuine criticism, which she did (in the Nov. 7th post), but that doesn't mean she had to agree with every single thing she said.

Luke seems to think that because she has criticism of one thing he said in his video, she's not willing to accept any of the criticism that has been given to her. **These ideas are not mutually exclusive and it is incorrect of Luke to paint it in that way. It is entirely possible for someone levying criticism at another person to say something that is worthy of criticism themselves, and they should be open to that possibility.**

After this, Peaches added an edit to the end of her Nov. 9th community post

UPDATE (10/11):

I have spoken with the person in question. Sadly nothing is to be changed, nor amended. Instead of people asking for this situation to be resolved (which I see a couple have and I appreciate those who have been pleasant towards him in your requests), I feel it is wasted effort. That's okay. I instead just wish for people to just look out for those you see who need a helping hand. ❤️

Show less

👍 1.2K 🗨️ 224

In it, she seems to express disappointment in the situation not going differently, which is understandable.


Things were quiet for a little while until **Nov. 30th**, when Peaches made this tweet, referring to the situation with Luke.



Nothing she says here is wrong, and she is not only referring to Luke but to people who saw Luke's video and agreed with it and made these claims against her, a detail that becomes relevant later.

Sometime after this tweet and the Spocor video wrapping up the Tobi situation, Peaches reached out to Luke again (believed to be on **Dec. 1st.**, as that is the only Tuesday before the

2nd after the 30th when the above tweet was made and both parties corroborate that timeline)



Peaches does say that she will make a video if she has to, and seemingly after Luke doesn't respond, Peaches made this tweet



In retrospect, it would probably have been best for her to not make the tweet, as it seemed to have inflamed the situation, but Peaches at this point was well within her right to make a video, especially if she did it in the vein of her Nov. 9th Community posts, where she fully explained what was said, why it was harmful, and then make a larger point about stigmas in mental health, all possible without even naming Luke. It's impossible to know exactly what the content of this video would have been, as it wasn't made and at this point likely never will be.

But it seems that Luke decided to preemptively strike.

On **Dec. 2nd**, Luke posted "[Stop Lying Peaches](#)", in which he goes on to defend his words and paint Peaches as the unreasonable, manipulative liar in the situation. Since this document has already gone fully into most things that happened leading up to this point and given analysis on it, certain points made in Luke's video won't be repeated because they've already been addressed thoroughly, only some points in this video leading up to the introduction of the CreepShow Art segment will be made or given brief summaries.

Luke starts right away by claiming that he is being lied about and accused of things he never did and says that he doesn't like how "other people" have been hurt by Peaches, showing tweets from Shannon/Creepshow Art, which is also likely the person he referred to earlier in DMs when he claims Peaches twisted DMs against people.

In this video, around 0:45, he changes his language from his previous video from "suicide baiting" to "sympathy baiting", which is likely what he meant in the first place. Once again, he doubles down on Peaches making the vague tweet about suicide and then leaving and coming back to make his point that she did it to garner sympathy.

At 1:28 we see another very unsubstantiated claim "Instead of being sorry for what she did, she turned really bitter about having to apologize and started lying about me". **Nothing Peaches has said or done has suggested that she is bitter that she had to apologize about her involvement in the Tobi drama, her only issue was Luke harmful suicide baiting claims that he continued to double down on and not listen to her when she tried to explain why they were so harmful and people's response to her from those claims. He is making a massive leap in logic with no evidence to push his point.**

He then conflates legitimate criticism with his accusations again, claiming her story changed from having to apologize about the previous situation to him being an "awful person" despite as he says this, he shows a screenshot from her Nov. 9th Community post where you can clearly see that she says twice that she doesn't believe that Luke made the comments maliciously. And again, it is not mutually exclusive to apologize for legitimate criticism while taking issue with a claim made about you.


After this, he claims that Peaches lied about losing subs and that Peaches claimed that he was "ruining her career". **This is not a statement that has been made by Peaches once during their tweets and discussions.** But even then, her social blade clearly shows a dip in subs after

Luke's video was released. Peaches released videos in the timeframe that ended up offsetting the loss in subs and caused the surge in growth. The tweet he uses to show she was tweeting about growth was made on **Nov. 26th**, 20 days after the release of the Nov. 6th Tobi video, and almost 2 weeks after their DM messages where she last mentioned losing subs.



1:57 is the next major claim from Luke. He shows two screenshots of a comment and a reply made under a different video related to the drama. The exact date of the comments made are unknown, but also largely irrelevant - they were just made sometime between Nov. 6th and Dec. 2nd. Luke's claims that Peaches was "telling people that I was upset that she didn't die, or that I called her a scumbag"





Luke once again has mischaracterized what Peaches said. In both comments she is referring to the plural with “so many people” in the first comment and “100k plus people all seem to agree with the sentiment i am a liar and a scumbag because I didn’t die.” **Peaches is not referring to Luke directly here, she is referring to what his audience came to her and claimed based off his own bad-faith claim. And it is very important to emphasize the point that Luke’s saying she faked a mental health crisis with the only evidence he brings up to prove it multiple times is that she returned to normalcy like nothing happened does to suggest that she was a liar and manipulative.** Luke does call Peaches actions scummy in his first video but calling a person’s action scummy and someone then going to her and calling her a scumbag is hardly a leap in logic and seems like an argument of semantics, especially since she said “the sentiment of” beforehand. Again, wording it more carefully would have benefitted Peaches, but what she said is not a stretch or lie the way it was portrayed. **Luke is making a leap to claim the comments are only and directly about him and still continues with his story that his initial comments had nothing wrong with them,** and he calls Peaches disgusting for “going around and spreading” this narrative.

He then even acknowledges that there could be an argument that him saying she manipulated her fans was too much, a point that he then quickly brushes over and turns back on peaches with his bad-faith interpretations without any reflection. Peaches tried to approach him with a similar sentiment - that it was too far of him to say the tweets were manipulative and they perpetuated a bad stigma - and yet he is now making this video against Peaches for doing that very thing.


He then claims that Peaches said that he “wanted her dead” despite her saying the exact opposite of this multiple times before this video, and claims that she is defaming him, and again claims it is because she can’t handle criticism.

Luke’s next part talks about and shows the DMs between him and Peaches and the points he makes were the same that he responded to her within the DMs, so those have all already been discussed earlier. He continues with the story that peaches lied about his actions and did so to gain sympathy and deflect criticism.

The next part of Luke's video is where he begins talking about CreepShow Art, and so this is where this document will also begin to talk about CreepShow, but as a summary and to contextualize some things going forward...

This entire situation was built on the foundation of her behaving terribly towards PrisonMateLuke, but we firmly believe that the entire foundation of this drama is incredibly weak and he used weak evidence, personal interpretations, and harmful stigmas to push his own narrative, and would not listen to Peaches when she tried to explain that to him. He used her trying to explain it to unfairly paint her even worse as being unable to accept criticism.

It was because of Luke's portrayal that CreepShow and Camila would also jump on with his claims - they would say so themselves that they were coming forward with their claims because they hated to see Peaches hurt someone else



To clarify, this comment is not made in reference to Camila. Camila did not say anything about being involved until after Luke's Dec. 2nd video was made, and CreepShow expressed that she did not know it was Camila who Peaches had discussed previously, so Shannon is purely speaking to and referring to Luke that Peaches supposedly treated horribly

They are supporting a weak argument. It is very disappointing that so many people, including large creators who have spoken at length about mental health advocacy, would see the statements of Luke and see nothing wrong with his actions and turn fully on Peaches. Shannon is included in this statement, but it is far from exclusive to her.

The situation with Luke is the most public with the most information available from all parties of the three major situations here - and the way it is currently being portrayed and received by the masses is incredibly unfair and one-sided, which is the main reason this document was created in the first place.

The second half of Luke's video is when he gives testimony from CreepShow Art - this is when things really begin to get convoluted. For this situation, **many of the claims and counter-claims made by CreepShow and Peaches are regarding situations that happened behind DMs that neither party has any screenshots of.** To prevent going into each claim individually, as that would take far too long and be largely unnecessary, this document will simply


explain what there is evidence for and what there is not evidence for, and show some comments made by CreepShow regarding the situation, as well as some evidence that seems to go against claims made about Peaches. **Some claims made by Shannon that appear in both Luke's video and her own video on the topic, ["Hopeless Peaches Needs to Stop"](#) posted on Dec. 7th, will be discussed when Shannon's personal video is discussed, and we will start by trying to stay more within claims made by Luke about the situation and then move on to claims made in Shannon's video**

Luke starts by discussing CreepShow by saying that he got the information from CreepShow herself, so he's not faking these or making them up. - This is a bit of a strange argument to make, as yes the statements did come from CreepShow, but CreepShow did very little to substantiate any of her claims made in this video. **Just because they came from CreepShow does not automatically mean that they are true, especially with the lack of evidence to back up her claims.**

Luke makes a claim that Peaches poisoned CreepShow's reputation to others in the art commentary community when she was just a small channel - Luke may have honestly misinterpreted Shannon message, but Shannon was and always has been a larger creator than Peaches, but the way he words it implies that Peaches was the larger channel at the time this situation happened. The only proof given is Shannon saying it happened to Luke, no messages from anyone claiming Peaches spread rumors and lies about Shannon or screenshots of the messages themselves.

Luke then makes a very unsubstantiated claim that creators like Tobi made videos on CreepShow using lies by Peaches. There does seem to be an implication that Peaches was a very big influence in the creation of Tobi's video, but there is no proof of this being said by Tobi or anyone else. Peaches said that she messaged Tobi and Tobi actually attested to a different story - which was that Tobi had issues with Shannon before ever talking to Peaches and that Peaches was one of the last creators she spoke to, even offering Peaches a larger role in the creation of her video on Shannon which Peaches declined. This cannot be publicly verified because Tobi has requested that she not be involved in the drama and does not want her messages to be shared, which Peaches respected. **Only a comment from Tobi could clear up Peaches' exact role in the creation of her video on CreepShow**, but until then it is not the place of Luke or Shannon to make speculation without evidence of this nature.

Update: Tobi provided the following statement on her Instagram story regarding this situation




Peaches asked CreepShow for her to provide proof of her claims that Peaches badmouthed her to other creators in the community.



As of yet, there have yet to be screenshots provided by CreepShow regarding this


But we are able to provide some screenshots of our own to attest to what Peaches is saying in this tweet.

These are screenshots of a conversation between Peaches and a small creator and friend in the art commentary community back in September - someone who was active in both Shannon's and Peaches' communities. **This person stopped supporting Shannon before ever speaking to Peaches privately purely based on actions that Shannon had done earlier in the year.** The smaller creator brought up that they stopped their support of Shannon after being disappointed in their actions.



Initially, the wording “that YouTuber” was done in an attempt to not name drop, as previously the situation regarding them was vaguely mentioned, though soon after they both used her name since they both knew who was being spoken about.

So here, Peaches has received a message from a smaller creator who had issues with Shannon. That creator came to those conclusions purely of their own volition. How did Peaches respond when this was brought up to her?



“Yeah, Shannon is a good person, like I told Tobi that”

This is more personal, but the creator has stated that if Peaches did go on to say that Shannon was terrible and awful, they would have been very inclined to believe her. Instead, Peaches reaffirmed that she thought Shannon was a good person who she was disappointed by some of the actions of, in a private conversation to a small creator in the community.

These are not the only messages of this nature.



These are also private messages between Peaches and a small creator in the community, in it, we see Peaches describing a dream where Shannon laughed at her, and then agreed with the sentiment that Shannon loved her and would never do something like that. These messages are from right after the Jaclyn Hill situation.


These messages, of course, don't disprove that Peaches badmouthed Shannon to people, but they do provide evidence to a different narrative - Peaches still thought that Shannon was a good person and was willing to express that in private conversations with others who would have been a prime target for Peaches to spread nasty sentiments to, instead Peaches expresses that she was disappointed in Shannon's actions and was sad to see her go down that path.

Luke goes on to defend the claim that Peaches spread lies and did it "because she didn't get enough clout from her" again - zero evidence is provided to show this, and seems more like Luke is making a massive leap in logic between two different situations that happened between Peaches and Shannon and Tobi.

The only evidence used at all to back up these claims are not even first-person sources.

They are screenshots of somebody else saying they seem to remember Peaches warning someone about Shannon in some fashion. **The only evidence being used is a game of**

Discord telephone. This is incredibly weak evidence to back up what they are saying.




Luke then wraps up his video with a summary of his points and ends by saying he thinks that Peaches has been a liar and a manipulator her entire time on youtube.

For Shannon's Dec. 7th video, Instead of going through every point, this document is only going to tell what exactly Shannon did provide receipts on, with some analysis on a couple of points

- Shannon shows where the two of them had a conversation that seemed relatively normal related to the Jaclyn Hill situation
- Shannon shows Peaches' tweets after their conversation where Peaches discusses how she is upset with the actions of another creator
- Shannon shows where Peaches subtweeted about her
- Shannon shows where Peaches reached out to her after her response to Tobi's video, in which Peaches took an issue with Shannon's characterization of her
- After her video, Shannon would make a community post showing where Peaches actually did once mention Camila's name to CreepShow and spoke about her in a negative way.

Most everything else where CreepShow makes a claim about Peaches does not have a related screenshot, the exception being when CreepShow covers the Camila situation (this document will discuss that situation in its own segment).



Update: screenshots have been provided from Peaches in regards to Shannon's claims around 17:08 in her video. Shannon claims that Peaches told her that fellow creator Daft Pina said that Shannon was probably angry at Peaches for the collab not doing good on her channel.





If these messages are the only conversation that the two had about the situation, then Shannon exaggerated/ lied about what was said. Peaches never said that she thought Shannon was angry at her, she only said that her collab didn't do as well and she didn't want to tank Shannon's views again, and Daft Pina had told her not to do that again. Whether or not this conversation happened would have to be shown from between Peaches and Daft Pina, but there was never any mention of Shannon or Daft Pina being angry or expressing anger. Peaches never mentions or alludes to anything suggesting that Shannon thought negatively of her because of the collab.

Starting with the Jaclyn Hill/Subtweet situation - that they had a normal agree to disagree conversation, and then suddenly Peaches was on her Twitter talking about how she was so upset over the actions of another creator, and she was shocked to find out that it was actually her she was referring to after Peaches soft-blocked Shannon. Peaches would then make vague subtweets about Shannon. **CreepShow does have screenshots showing the conversation and then peaches subsequent tweets and subtweets, and both parties have largely agreed to these screenshots being accurate.**





However, Shannon would paint this as Peaches lying about their conversation, but according to Peaches, there is an important piece that is missing. Peaches claims that Shannon made a tweet after their conversation where she said she was be listening to her “true friends” in the situation and tagged a creator who was fully defending Shannon’s actions - and Peaches felt slighted by this idea that Shannon did not see her as a true friend because she disagreed with Shannon’s actions and that is what spawned Peaches’ reaction. It would have been possible to look through Shannon’s Twitter to see if such a tweet existed to potentially give credence to either one of their claims - **but Shannon deleted her Twitter not long after Prison Mate Luke’s first video (not for reasons related to the drama according to Shannon), so it is now not possible to verify. We have another she-said she-said.**

If Peaches was genuinely upset and took Shannon’s alleged tweet as a slight and that Shannon no longer saw Peaches as a true friend, it paints a much different picture than Peaches being a liar trying to make people dislike Shannon for no reason. In retrospect, it would have been best to leave it off twitter, but we do not think Peaches is bad for expressing her feelings on social media, and there is a possibility that Shannon’s painting of the situation is inaccurate and contains only a partial picture of the whole situation.

We do think that it was petty of Peaches to subtweet about Shannon, this is a genuine criticism of her, and Peaches could work on keeping those comments off a public platform. Though,

there is one note about one of the tweets Shannon took issue with.



This is the original tweet, which has since been deleted



Under the tweet, Peaches converses briefly with another creator. Shannon shows this screenshot to claim that Peaches “plays dumb” about the tweet not being about her... except that isn't quite what's happening here.

Peaches seemingly is trying to clarify that just because she wants to not be seen as a “Creepshow Art clone” that she doesn't see Shannon as not a friend, more she just wants to change her ways. Nothing about this is implying that she's saying it's not Shannon. The original tweet could still be seen as passive aggressive, especially given the earlier situation, but it's possibly much less malicious than is being claimed. Maybe best to not tweet this, especially at that time, but it's possible Peaches didn't have the intentions others are claiming she does with this tweet, especially if she deleted it after realizing how it came across to others (we are unsure of exactly when the tweet was deleted).

The next screenshot comes from when Peaches reaches out to Shannon about how she was characterized in Shannon's response to Tobi's video.



Hi. I have a new account due to a lot of shiz but its still me.

I was just gonna say I saw your latest video?

Jun 11, 2020, 4:23 AM

I saw what you said, and I can understand your upset with us not talking anymore, but you also painted me as kind of a huge bitch? Saying I was ranting and raving about you, and how I was spreading private information about you to "multiple" content creators.

spreading private information about you to "multiple" content creators.



I wanna try and clear some things up, as I have quite a few people who kinda hate me rn, and I feel it was either a misunderstanding, or mis-wording.

All that can be said is Peaches did seem to have an issue with her characterization and wanted to try and clear up misunderstandings, it's hard without further screenshots whether or not Shannon's claims are accurate. Here are the full screenshots from this conversation, where we see Peaches also apologize for hurting Shannon and trying to explain from her position why she did what she did.

Hi. I have a new account due to a lot of shiz but its still me.

I was just gonna say I saw your latest video?

Jun 11, 2020, 12:23 PM ✓

I saw what you said, and I can understand your upset with us not talking anymore, but you also painted me as kind of a huge bitch? Saying I was ranting and raving about you, and how I was spreading private information about you to "multiple" content creators.

I wanna try and clear some things up, as I have quite a few people who kinda hate me rn, and I feel it was either a misunderstanding, or mis-wording.

Jun 11, 2020, 12:25 PM ✓

I wanna try and clear some things up, as I have quite a few people who kinda hate me rn, and I feel it was either a misunderstanding, or mis-wording.

Jun 11, 2020, 12:25 PM ✓

Firstly, I soft blocked you to be temporary. I was going through a lot of stress, and seeing you going down a road that was going so bitter upset me, and I needed distance. I told you to your face how it made me feel, and how to change for the better. I just personally couldnt watch that go on any longer.

I had already said briefly about that in our last DMs before you made that video

Jun 11, 2020, 12:28 PM ✓

before you made that video

Jun 11, 2020, 12:28 PM ✓

Second, my Draw like Creepshow Art wasnt taken down because of you. I have ALWAYS been open about your strengths and how you made me wanna do YT before and after this. The Draw like a YouTuber series as a WHOLE got unlisted and kept in a playlist.

Jun 11, 2020, 12:29 PM ✓

Third, I had mentioned how people kept calling me a clone of you, and how I wanna move on from those roots. That wasnt a "fuck you" to you. I was more frustrated to being called a clone, and in reality, many people worried I would come to make content like you. I however still had hope you would and can still turn that around.

Jun 11, 2020, 12:31 PM ✓

people worried I would come to make content like you. I however still had hope you would and can still turn that around.

Jun 11, 2020, 12:31 PM ✓

Fourth, no, I have not been "spreading private information" about you. Nor have I been badmouthing you to other creators. Tobi became a close friend, and she had her own grievances. I REJECTED going in a video against you, and I rejected giving any DMs for her to go and use. I also kept asking her if she wants to do it, to be nice. Coz, as I said, I sing your praises too.

Jun 11, 2020, 12:33 PM ✓

The fact a good chunk of your video was a very one-sided and kinda weird retelling of events, and saying I have just been shitting on your name to everyone I see is kind of upsetting. I had subbed to you again seeing you had come to change, and wanted to talk to you again to say sorry, until I sat through it all and... idk

Jun 11, 2020, 12:35 PM ✓



Shannon's comments early in her video about Peaches deleting her old twitter and starting a new one being suspicious to her does seem unfair. She does say she can't know for sure Peaches did it because of that, she just found it odd now that many old convos are gone.

Peaches did delete her twitter some time before the current drama situation happened, or was close to happening, and said she did so for personal reasons. This seems unjust because Shannon herself deleted her Twitter **after** this current drama situation started, stating she did so for personal reasons, and she did so in a situation where old tweets of Shannon's could potentially build or destroy a case for Peaches. To be very clear, we do not claim that either party deleted their old accounts in an attempt to hide anything, we only want to point out that Shannon has thrown stones in a glass house by making this statement.

The last thing is the screenshot where Shannon does show Peaches spoke about Camila to her before. Shannons owns up that she messed up in saying Peaches would never tell her about who the person was when they spoke before.



This screenshot does prove that Peaches did speak negatively of Camila to Shannon in private, though it's incredibly hard to say whether or not it was justified, given much about the Camila situation has only been given from one side and we have yet to see what evidence Peaches provides on the topic, but it does show that Shannon was truthful in her talking about Camila.

the interaction itself though as a whole does show me being protective of her and adds credence to that dynamic, since she was egging me on to make a video on this situation and silence someone who was criticizing her, rather than her doing so herself.

I am thankful that that wasn't a super massive part of my video, but still. I want to own up to this and I'm going to leave a note on the video as well.

Show less

In the text about the image, Shannon makes a comment that Peaches was “egging her” to make a video, though does not show a screenshot of that, and claimed she did it to silence someone criticizing her rather than doing it herself. These claims are unsubstantiated. The claim she did it to “silence criticism” and wouldn't do it herself seems out of line and only said to try and continue the unfounded Luke narrative that Peaches is unable to accept any criticism and tries to deflect from it.

The Creepshow situation is a lot more complicated than the one with Luke -if further proof is given, a more solid position can be taken - but as is, many claims coming from Shannon are not backed up with evidence to support them. Most of it does boil down to she-said she-said. We do find some of Shannon's characterizations at odds with other behaviors seen from Peaches, shown in the earlier screenshots - but more evidence needs to come out. We especially want to point this out because Peaches was called a liar for referring to the claims against her as “allegations” because people claimed there was cold hard proof against her for everything - **this is simply not true at all.**

The final of the three main situations comes from claims made by Camila Cuevas against Peaches, Camila posted [This Google Doc](#) where she details a situation between her and Peaches 3 years ago, later reiterated verbally by her in Luke's **Dec. 4th** Video ["No More Lying Peaches"](#)

Much about the Camila situation has not come out yet, as Peaches has yet to release her response, but has stated that she will be doing so soon. There are still things to discuss just from what Camila has provided.

- Camila has screenshots for some things in the document, yet is notably missing several very important ones - such as a screenshot of the apology that Peaches posted - so we can not verify her claim that Peaches apology was mostly her talking about how hard the situation is on her, rather than a genuine apology.
- Camila does not have any screenshots showing that Peaches was going around saying Camila bullied her in DMs. We do have the DM from Shannon showing that Peaches spoke negatively about her, but there isn't a mention of Camila bullying Peaches in that screenshot.
- The dates are missing from the messages where Peaches asks Camila to take down the poster from the store and when Peaches posted her Amino post - this doesn't prove or disprove anything - this only makes it hard to verify the timeline.

- Camila does show where she mentioned the idea of placing art with Peaches on her merch store, but besides that mention, there are no other messages provided showing where they discuss payment or profit splits - if those messages existed, they would have been good evidence for Camila to include, but if a conversation like that never occurred, there is a possibility that Peaches expected a conversation like that to happen, but when it never did, Peaches assumed Camila planned to take all profits and that is what spurred her asking for Camila to remove it and the amino post.
- Camila makes a claim that Peaches started rumors about her tracing art, but provides no evidence to substantiate this.
- Camila echoes the same sentiment as Creepshow in that she came forward because of Luke's video and saw her "building her career off of being a bully", despite Luke's claims being incredibly lacking.

These are questions we would like to see answered or explained by both parties. We also ask that people give Peaches a chance to show her own receipts, as it is still possible that there is important context missing from the conversations and screenshots. At minimum, it can be said that Peaches should have tried to keep the situation with Camila private before making the public post she did. As is though, it does seem like there is evidence missing from Camila and there could potentially be important context missing, which we hope to see explained.

Again, this is not us claiming that Camila is lying or being dishonest, it is entirely possible that everything Camila said in the Doc is true and if it is, Peaches needs to take accountability. We simply want to give Peaches the chance to explain her side and show what she has.

This drama was built on a terrible foundation by Luke, and it seems it was decided that Peaches was a completely vile person and took every single thing said about her at face value - with Luke's dishonest claims being backed up by Shannon and Camila. Peaches was ruled 100% in the wrong with no further digging into the situation or nuance, and we do feel that this is completely unfair. Peaches has her flaws, but we do believe that she is not the terrible person she's been painted as, especially when many things said about her are provably untrue or backed by weak evidence.

We want Peaches to apologize for what she needs to and to grow from this, not for her to be torn down and be told that her even trying to defend herself is wrong and she is a bad person because of it.

We thank you if you did read this far into the document and have considered what we have presented, and please remember that we will try to update this document with more info as it comes out.

